

REGULAR MEETING OF THE
OKLAHOMA WORKERS' COMPENSATION
COMMISSION

APPEALS

Friday, September 16, 2022
9:00 a.m.
Commission Chambers
1915 N. Stiles Ave.
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma
www.wcc.ok.gov

AGENDA

CALL TO ORDER.....Commission's Chair, Chairman Russell
ROLL CALL.....Presiding Appellate Officer, Commissioner Tilly
BUSINESS.....Presiding Appellate Officer, Commissioner Tilly

STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE BY CHAIRMAN

THE FOLLOWING MATTERS ARE PRESENTED FOR CONSIDERATION AND ACTION, IF ANY, DEEMED APPROPRIATE BY THE COMMISSION

A. MINUTES:

- The drafted Minutes of the Regular Appeals Meeting of August 26, 2022 will be considered for approval.

B. Appeal Hearings before the Commission En Banc from Orders Issued by the Commission's Administrative Law Judges

The hearings before the Commission en banc will be conducted pursuant to the authority and jurisdiction of the Administrative Workers' Compensation Act, Title 85A O.S. § 1 et seq., and the Workers' Compensation Commission's Permanent Rules, OAC 810. The Commissioners may recess for lunch.

The procedure for the hearings before the Commission en banc is as follows:

- Each side will be allowed ten (10) minutes for oral arguments.
- The appellant will present first. Appellant may divide his or her ten minutes for argument, allowing a portion of that time for rebuttal.
- Both parties are subject to questioning by Commissioners.

1. **Terry Oard v. Oklahoma Department of Public Safety and State of Oklahoma Consolidated WC Program, File #CM3-2019-01963X**

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Blodgett. Michael R. Green is the attorney of record for the Claimant and Cynthia J. Braly is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

2. **Nancy Gonzalez-Rubio v. Pacific Painting Co. Inc. and Continental National Indemnity Co., File #CM3A-2019-04881X**

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Egan. Mariano Acuna is the attorney of record for the Claimant. Nicole S. Bryant and Milly Daniels are the attorneys of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

3. **Steven Hernandez v. McKesson Medical Surgical Inc. and Old Republic Insurance Co., File #CM3-2020-06327H**

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Egan. Alex Forbes is attorney of record for the Claimant and Angela Odell Reinstein is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

4. **Joseph Beaty v. City of Tulsa (OWN RISK #10435), File #CM3-2020-06649L**

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Inhofe. David J. Frette is the attorney of record for the Claimant and Travis R. Colt is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

5. **Darlene Brown v. Del Real Holdco LLC and Great American Alliance Insurance Co., File #CM3-2021-01857L**

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Egan. Brandon J. Burton is the attorney of record for the Claimant and Barry Zlotogura is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

6. **Gary Stricklen v. MITF, File #CM3F-2021-06389H**

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Blodgett. Darrel R. Paul is the attorney of record for the Claimant and Travis R. Colt is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

7. **Eva Handle v. MITF, File #CM3F-2018-02950L**

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Inhofe. David P. Reid is the attorney of record for the Claimant and Stefan Wenzel is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

8. Blaine Travis v. Jet Tank Service LLC and Open Republic Ins. Co., File #CM3-2020-05482X

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Egan. Kathryn Black is the attorney of record for the Claimant and J. Nick Crews is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

9. Robert Page v. City of Tulsa (OWN RISK #10435), File #CM3-2020-06114Y

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Inhofe. Jack G. Zurawik is the attorney of record for the Claimant and Cyrus Nathaniel Lawyer is the attorney of record for the Respondent.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

C. Commission Consideration of Adoption of Final Order in the Following Cases:

1. Julian Slater v. MITE, File #CM3F-2019-00356F

Respondent filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Egan. Bob Burke appeared for the Claimant and Michael A. Fagan appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on May 20, 2022. After reviewing the record, hearing oral argument of counsel, and deliberating, the Chairman Russell moved to take preliminary action to affirm the decision of the Administrative Law Judge's Order and instructed the law clerk or other staff member to draft a proposed order with finding of facts and conclusions of law, to be considered for continued action at a future meeting.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

2. **Raymond Miller v. La Quinta Inn, Global Core Stillwater LLC, and Employers Preferred Insurance Co. (FKA AMCOMP PREFERRED), File #CM3-2020-00616F**

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Egan. Michael R. Green appeared for the Claimant and Kelley Bodell appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on May 20, 2022. After reviewing the record, hearing oral argument of counsel, and deliberating, the Commission took this case under advisement.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

3. **Wanda Gibby v. MITF, File #CM3F-2019-07638F**

Claimant filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Blodgett. J. Kord Hammert appeared for the Claimant and Michael A. Fagan appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on May 20, 2022. After reviewing the record, hearing oral argument of counsel, and deliberating, the Commission took this case under advisement.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

4. Joseph Handley v. Nationwide Fixture Installation Inc. and Hanover American Insurance Co., File #CM3-2019-00808J

Both parties filed an appeal from the order issued by Administrative Law Judge Egan. Darrel Paul appeared for the Claimant and Rose M. Sloan appeared for the Respondent.

This case came on for Oral Argument on June 24, 2022. After reviewing the record, hearing oral argument of counsel, and deliberating, the Commissioner Biggs moved to take preliminary action to affirm in-part, in regards to consequential injury, and remand in-part, in regards to intervening accident, and remand back for determination of medical treatment and instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

Possible Action:

Possible action may include, but is not limited to: taking no action; continuing the matter; affirming the order and decision of the Administrative Law Judge and issuing an order to that effect; or taking preliminary action in the matter to reverse, modify, or remand. If the Commissioners do not fully affirm the order of the Administrative Law Judge, the Commission may instruct Appellate Counsel or staff to draft a proposed order to be considered in further deliberations and at a future Commission meeting.

ADJOURNMENT.....Presiding Appellate Officer, Commissioner Tilly